


**$f'_2(1525)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$  **$f'_2(1525)$  MASS**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT ID

**1517.4 $\pm$ 2.5 OUR AVERAGE** Includes data from the 6 datablocks that follow this one.  
Error includes scale factor of 2.8. See the ideogram below.

 $f'_2(1525)$  MASS (MeV)**PRODUCED BY PION BEAM**VALUE (MeV)EVTSDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1521 $\pm$ 13	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1547 $^{+10}_{-2}$	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE 86	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1496 $^{+9}_{-8}$	<sup>2</sup> CHABAUD 81	ASPK	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

$1497^{+8}_{-9}$	CHABAUD	81	ASPK	$18.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
$1492 \pm 29$	GORLICH	80	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized $\rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
$1502 \pm 25$	<sup>3</sup> CORDEN	79	OMEG	$12\text{--}15 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1480	14	CRENNELL	66	HBC $6.0 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

<sup>1</sup> From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

<sup>2</sup> CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.

<sup>3</sup> From an amplitude analysis where the  $f'_2(1525)$  width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from  $K\bar{K}$  channel, making the solution dubious.

## PRODUCED BY $K^\pm$ BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

**1518.1 $\pm$  2.8 OUR AVERAGE** Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.


Error includes scale factor of 3.0. See the ideogram below.

$1526.8 \pm 4.3$	ASTON	88D	LASS	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$
$1504 \pm 12$	BOLONKIN	86	SPEC	$40 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 Y$
$1529 \pm 3$	ARMSTRONG	83B	OMEG	$18.5 K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
$1521 \pm 6$	AGUILAR...	81B	HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
$1521 \pm 3$	ALHARRAN	81	HBC	$8.25 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K\bar{K}$
$1522 \pm 6$	BARREIRO	77	HBC	$4.15 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
$1528 \pm 7$	EVANGELIS...	77	OMEG	$10 K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
$1527 \pm 3$	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	$13 K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
$1519 \pm 7$	AGUILAR...	72B	HBC	$3.9, 4.6 K^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1514 \pm 8$	61	BINON	07	GAMS $32.5 K^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta(\Lambda/\Sigma^0)$
$1513 \pm 10$	1	BARKOV	99	SPEC $40 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 y$

<sup>1</sup> Systematic errors not estimated.



## PRODUCED IN $e^+e^-$ ANNIHILATION AND PARTICLE DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

**1514  $\pm 5$  OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 3.8. See the ideogram below.

1522.2 $\pm$ 2.8 $\pm$ 5.3		AAIJ	13AN LHCb	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$
1513 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 4	5.5k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
1525.3 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 3.7		UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1521 $\pm$ 5		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
1518 $\pm$ 1 $\pm$ 3		ABE	04 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
1519 $\pm$ 2 $\pm$ 15		BAI	03G BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}$
1523 $\pm$ 6	331	<sup>2</sup> ACCIARRI	01H L3	91, 183–209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
1535 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 4		ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- + X$
1516 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 9		BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1531.6 $\pm$ 10.0		AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1496 $\pm$ 2		<sup>3</sup> FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
1525 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 10		BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
1503 $\pm$ 11		<sup>4</sup> RODAS	22 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
1532 $\pm$ 3 $\pm$ 6	644	<sup>5,6</sup> DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1557 $\pm$ 9 $\pm$ 3	113	<sup>5,6</sup> DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1526 $\pm$ 7	29	<sup>7</sup> LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$
1523 $\pm$ 5	870	<sup>8</sup> SCHEGELSKY	06A RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1515 $\pm$ 5		<sup>9</sup> FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$

<sup>1</sup> From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of  $0^{++}$ ,  $2^{++}$ , and  $4^{++}$  resonances.

<sup>2</sup> Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.

<sup>3</sup> From an analysis including interference with  $f_0(1710)$ .

<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$  (ABLIM 15AE) and  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$  (ABLIM 18AA).


<sup>5</sup> Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

<sup>6</sup> From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed  $\Gamma = 73$  MeV.

<sup>7</sup> From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape plus a second-order polynomial function. Systematic errors not evaluated.

<sup>8</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

<sup>9</sup> From an analysis ignoring interference with  $f_0(1710)$ .



## PRODUCED IN $\bar{p}p$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

### 1512 $\pm$ 4 OUR AVERAGE

1513 $\pm$ 4	AMSLER	06	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1508 $\pm$ 9	1 AMSLER	02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
1495.0 $\pm$ 1.1 $\pm$ 8.1	2 ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$
1530 $\pm$ 12	3 ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$

<sup>1</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>2</sup> T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ( $\pi\pi$ ), LONGACRE 86 ( $K\bar{K}$ ), BINON 83 ( $\eta\eta$ ).

<sup>3</sup> 4-poles, 5-channel K matrix fit.

## CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

1515 $\pm$ 15	BARBERIS	99	OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
---------------	----------	----	------	---------------------------------------

## PRODUCED IN $e p$ COLLISIONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

1512 $\pm$ 3 $^{+1.4}_{-0.5}$	1 CHEKANOV	08	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
-------------------------------	------------	----	------	---------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1537^{+9}_{-8}$       84      <sup>2</sup> CHEKANOV 04 ZEUS  $e p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$

<sup>1</sup> In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the  $f_2(1270)$ ,  $a_2^0(1320)$ , and  $f'_2(1525)$  mesons incoherently added to the  $f_0(1710)$  and non-resonant background.

<sup>2</sup> Systematic errors not estimated.

---

## $f'_2(1525)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
<b>86 ± 5 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 2.2.
<b>86.9<sup>+2.3</sup><sub>-2.1</sub></b>	PDG	18 Average of width measurements

## PRODUCED BY PION BEAM


VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>86.9<sup>+2.3</sup><sub>-2.1</sub> OUR AVERAGE</b>			Includes data from the 5 datablocks that follow this one.
			Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
102 ± 42	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
108 <sup>+5</sup> <sub>-2</sub>	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE 86	MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
69 <sup>+22</sup> <sub>-16</sub>	<sup>2</sup> CHABAUD 81	ASPK	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
137 <sup>+23</sup> <sub>-21</sub>	CHABAUD 81	ASPK	$18.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
150 <sup>+83</sup> <sub>-50</sub>	GORLICH 80	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized $\rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
165 ± 42	<sup>3</sup> CORDEN 79	OMEG	$12\text{--}15 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
92 <sup>+39</sup> <sub>-22</sub>	<sup>4</sup> POLYCHRO... 79	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0$

<sup>1</sup> From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

<sup>2</sup> CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.

<sup>3</sup> From an amplitude analysis where the  $f'_2(1525)$  width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from  $K\bar{K}$  channel, making the solution dubious.

<sup>4</sup> From a fit to the  $D$  with  $f_2(1270)\text{-}f'_2(1525)$  interference. Mass fixed at 1516 MeV.

**PRODUCED BY  $K^\pm$  BEAM**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

**82± 6 OUR AVERAGE**

90±12		ASTON	88D	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$
73±18		BOLONKIN	86	SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 Y$
83±15		ARMSTRONG	83B	OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
85±16	650	AGUILAR-...	81B	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
80 <sup>+14</sup> <sub>-11</sub>	572	ALHARRAN	81	HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K\bar{K}$
72±25	166	EVANGELIS...	77	OMEG	10 $K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
69±22	100	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

92 <sup>+25</sup> <sub>-16</sub>	61	BINON	07	GAMS	$32.5 K^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta(\Lambda/\Sigma^0)$
75±20		<sup>1</sup> BARKOV	99	SPEC	$40 K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 y$
62 <sup>+19</sup> <sub>-14</sub>	123	BARREIRO	77	HBC	$4.15 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
61± 8	120	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	$13 K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

<sup>1</sup> Systematic errors not estimated.


**PRODUCED IN  $e^+ e^-$  ANNIHILATION AND PARTICLE DECAYS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

**89.2 $\pm$  3.4 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.

84 $\pm$ 6 $\pm$ 10		AAIJ	13AN LHCb	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$
75 $\pm$ 12 $\pm$ 16	5.5k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
82.9 $\pm$ 2.1 $\pm$ 3.3		UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
77 $\pm$ 15		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
82 $\pm$ 2 $\pm$ 3		ABE	04 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
75 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 15		BAI	03G BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}$
100 $\pm$ 15	331	<sup>2</sup> ACCIARRI	01H L3	91, 183–209 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
60 $\pm$ 20 $\pm$ 19		ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- + X$
60 $\pm$ 23 $\pm$ 13		BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
103 $\pm$ 30		AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
100 $\pm$ 3		<sup>3</sup> FALVAR	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
85 $\pm$ 35		BALTRUSAIT...87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
84 $\pm$ 15		<sup>4</sup> RODAS	22 RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma (\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$
37 $\pm$ 12	29	<sup>5</sup> LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$
104 $\pm$ 10	870	<sup>6</sup> SCHEGELSKY	06A RVUE	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
62 $\pm$ 10		<sup>7</sup> FALVAR	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
89.2+3.4-3.0 (Error scaled by 1.8)



- <sup>1</sup> From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of  $0^{++}$ ,  $2^{++}$ , and  $4^{++}$  resonances.  
<sup>2</sup> Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.  
<sup>3</sup> From an analysis including interference with  $f_0(1710)$ .  
<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole from coupled channel K-matrix fit to data on  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$  (ABLIM 15AE) and  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$  (ABLIM 18AA).  
<sup>5</sup> From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape plus a second-order polynomial function. Systematic errors not evaluated.  
<sup>6</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.  
<sup>7</sup> From an analysis ignoring interference with  $f_0(1710)$ .  
 $f'_2(1525)$  width,  $e^+ e^-$  annihilation and particle decays (MeV)

## PRODUCED IN $\bar{p}p$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

### 77 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE

76 ± 6	AMSLER	06	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
79 ± 8	1 AMSLER	02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
104.8 ± 0.9 ± 9.8	2 ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$
128 ± 20	3 ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	$\pi^0 K^+ K^-$ 0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$

<sup>1</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>2</sup> T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ( $\pi\pi$ ), LONGACRE 86 ( $K\bar{K}$ ), BINON 83 ( $\eta\eta$ ).

<sup>3</sup> K-matrix, 4-poles, 5-channel fit.

## CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

70±25	BARBERIS	99	OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
-------	----------	----	------	---------------------------------------

## PRODUCED IN $e p$ COLLISIONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

83± 9 <sup>+5</sup> <sub>-4</sub>	1 CHEKANOV	08	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
-----------------------------------	------------	----	------	---------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

50 <sup>+34</sup> <sub>-22</sub>	84	2 CHEKANOV	04	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
----------------------------------	----	------------	----	------	---------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the  $f_2(1270)$ ,  $a_2^0(1320)$ , and  $f'_2(1525)$  mesons incoherently added to the  $f_0(1710)$  and non-resonant background.

<sup>2</sup> Systematic errors not estimated.

## $f'_2(1525)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Scale factor
$\Gamma_1$ $K\bar{K}$	$(87.6 \pm 2.2) \%$	1.1
$\Gamma_2$ $\eta\eta$	$(11.6 \pm 2.2) \%$	1.1
$\Gamma_3$ $\pi\pi$	$(8.3 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_4$ $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$		
$\Gamma_5$ $\pi K\bar{K}$		
$\Gamma_6$ $\pi\pi\eta$		
$\Gamma_7$ $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$		
$\Gamma_8$ $\gamma\gamma$	$(9.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-7}$	1.1

## CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, 2 partial widths, a combination of partial widths obtained from integrated cross sections, and 3 branching ratios uses 17 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 18.2$  for 13 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to parameters  $p_i$ , including the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} & x_2 & x_3 & x_8 & \Gamma \\ \hline x_2 & -100 & & & \\ x_3 & -6 & -1 & & \\ x_8 & -19 & 19 & 1 & \\ \Gamma & -4 & 4 & 0 & -44 \\ & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_8 \end{array}$$

Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
$\Gamma_1$ $K\bar{K}$	75 $\pm 4$	1.8
$\Gamma_2$ $\eta\eta$	9.9 $\pm 1.9$	1.1
$\Gamma_3$ $\pi\pi$	0.71 $\pm 0.14$	1.1
$\Gamma_8$ $\gamma\gamma$	$(8.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$	

## $f'_2(1525)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

### $\Gamma_1$

VALUE (MeV)      DOCUMENT ID      TECN      COMMENT

**75  $\pm 4$  OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

**63<sup>+6</sup><sub>-5</sub>** <sup>1</sup> LONGACRE 86 MPS  $22\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

<sup>1</sup> From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$  $\Gamma_2$ 

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>9.9±1.9 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.0±0.8	870	1 SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
24 +3 -1		2 LONGACRE 86	MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

<sup>1</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using  $\Gamma(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = 68$  MeV and SU(3) relations.

<sup>2</sup> From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)$  $\Gamma_3$ 

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.71±0.14 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
1.4 +1.0 -0.5		1 LONGACRE 86	MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.2 +1.0 -0.2	870	2 SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

<sup>1</sup> From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

<sup>2</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using  $\Gamma(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = 68$  MeV and SU(3) relations.

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$  $\Gamma_8$ 

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.082±0.009 OUR FIT</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.13 ±0.03	870	1 SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

<sup>1</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using  $\Gamma(f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) = 68$  MeV and SU(3) relations.

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.746±0.002 +0.166 -0.162		1 ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$

<sup>1</sup> Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ( $\pi\pi$ ), LONGACRE 86 ( $K\bar{K}$ ), BINON 83 ( $\eta\eta$ ).

 $f'_2(1525) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$ 

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.072 ±0.007 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.072 ±0.007 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.048 +0.067 +0.108 -0.008 -0.012		UEHARA 13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.0564±0.0048±0.0116		ABE 04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$

0.076	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.011$	331	<sup>1</sup> ACCIARRI	01H L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.067	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.015$		<sup>2</sup> ALBRECHT	90G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
0.11	$+0.03$	$\pm 0.02$		BEHREND	89C CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.10	$+0.04$	$+0.03$		BERGER	88 PLUT	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
0.12	$\pm 0.07$	$\pm 0.04$		<sup>2</sup> AIHARA	86B TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
0.11	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.04$		<sup>2</sup> ALTHOFF	83 TASS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K\bar{K}$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>						
$0.0314 \pm 0.0050 \pm 0.0077$ <sup>3</sup> ALBRECHT    90G ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$						

<sup>1</sup> Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J. From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV,

<sup>2</sup> Using an incoherent background.

<sup>3</sup> Using a coherent background.

## $f'_2(1525)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.059 $\pm 0.003 \pm 0.026$	<sup>1</sup> ALBRECHT	20 RVUE	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$	
seen	UEHARA	10A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$	
0.10 $\pm 0.03$	<sup>2</sup> PROKOSHKIN	91 GAM4	$300 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p\eta\eta$	

<sup>1</sup> Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ( $\pi\pi$ ), LONGACRE 86 ( $K\bar{K}$ ), BINON 83 ( $\eta\eta$ ).

<sup>2</sup> Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on  $K\bar{K}$  central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$ .

### $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

### $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$
<b>0.132 <math>\pm 0.028</math> OUR FIT</b>						
<b>0.115 <math>\pm 0.028</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>						
0.119 $\pm 0.015 \pm 0.036$	61	<sup>1</sup> BINON	07 GAMS	$32.5 K^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta(\Lambda/\Sigma^0)$		
0.11 $\pm 0.04$		<sup>2</sup> PROKOSHKIN	91 GAM4	$300 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p\eta\eta$		
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>						
< 0.14	90	BARBERIS	00E	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$		
< 0.50		BARNES	67 HBC	$4.6, 5.0 K^- p$		

<sup>1</sup> Using the compilation of the cross sections for  $f'_2(1525)$  production in  $K^- p$  collisions from ASTON 88D.

<sup>2</sup> Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on  $K\bar{K}$  central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$ .

### $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
<b>0.83 <math>\pm 0.16</math> OUR FIT</b>					
<b>0.75 <math>\pm 0.16</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.7 $\pm 0.2$		COSTA	80 OMEG	$10 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$	
2.7 $\pm 7.1$		<sup>1</sup> GORLICH	80 ASPK	$17, 18 \pi^- p$	
0.75 $\pm 0.25$		<sup>1,2</sup> MARTIN	79 RVUE		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.4 ± 1.5 ± 1.0	<sup>3</sup> ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$	
< 6	95	AGUILAR-...	81B	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
19 ± 3		CORDEN	79	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
< 4.5	95	BARREIRO	77	HBC	4.15 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
1.2 ± 0.4		<sup>1</sup> PAWLICKI	77	SPEC	6 $\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
< 6.3	90	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	13 $K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
< 0.86		<sup>1</sup> BEUSCH	75B	OSPK	8.9 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0 n$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the  $f'_2(1525)$  is produced by an one-pion exchange production mechanism.

<sup>2</sup> MARTIN 79 uses the PAWLICKI 77 data with different input value of the  $f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}$  branching ratio.

<sup>3</sup> Residue from T-matrix pole, 4 poles, 4 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ( $\pi\pi$ ), LONGACRE 86 ( $K\bar{K}$ ), BINON 83 ( $\eta\eta$ ).

### $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0094 ± 0.0018 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.075 ± 0.035</b>		AUGUSTIN	87	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

### $[\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.) + \Gamma(\pi K\bar{K})]/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
<0.35	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC    3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
<0.4	67	AMMAR	67	HBC

### $\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				

<0.41	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC    3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
<0.3	67	AMMAR	67	HBC

### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
<0.32	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC    3.9,4.6 $K^- p$

## $f'_2(1525)$ REFERENCES

RODAS	22	EPJ C82 80	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	13AN	PR D87 072004	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
CHEKANOV	08	PRL 101 112003	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)

BINON	07	PAN 70 1713 Translated from YAF 70 1758.	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEKANOV	04	PL B578 33	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828 Translated from YAF 66 860.	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BARKOV	99	JETPL 70 248 Translated from ZETFP 70 242.	B.P. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95J	PL B363 118	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
PROKOSHKIN	91	SPD 36 155 Translated from DANS 316 900.	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(GAM2 and GAM4 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BEHREND	89C	ZPHY C43 91	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ASTON	88D	NP B301 525	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BERGER	88	ZPHY C37 329	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LAZO+)
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LAZO, CLER, FRAS+)
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
AIHARA	86B	PRL 57 404	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 $\gamma$ Collab.)
BOLONKIN	86	SJNP 43 776 Translated from YAF 43 1211.	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP) JP
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
ALTHOFF	83	PL 121B 216	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	83B	NP B224 193	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)
AGUILAR...	81B	ZPHY C8 313	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF+)
ALHARRAN	81	NP B191 26	S. Al-Harran <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
CHABAUD	81	APP B12 575	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CRAC, MPIM)
COSTA	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
GORLICH	80	NP B174 16	L. Gorlich <i>et al.</i>	(CRAC, MPIM, CERN+)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP
MARTIN	79	NP B158 520	A.D. Martin, E.N. Ozmutlu	(DURH)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
BARREIRO	77	NP B121 237	F. Barreiro <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, AMST, NIJM+)
EVANGELIS...	77	NP B127 384	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
PAWLIKCI	77	PR D15 3196	A.J. Pawlicki <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJP
BRANDENB...	76C	NP B104 413	G.W. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
BEUSCH	75B	PL 60B 101	W. Beusch <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ETH)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
AGUILAR...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
AMMAR	67	PRL 19 1071	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL) JP
BARNES	67	PRL 19 964	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJPC
CRENNELL	66	PRL 16 1025	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I

---